

13.0 page 11 of 13
containers
change to
container
remove "to"

14.0 FIG 1
2 change to 2A
add upper recycle bin 2B
hitch changes location

15.0 FIG 2
2
Change to
2A

16.0 FIG 2A
1
Change to
2B

REMARKS- GENERAL ✓

By the above amendment, applicant has rewritten some claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical rejections and define the invention patentability over the prior art. Applicant has also clarified the FIG 1 drawing to include a plurality of attached containers and clarified the wording in the Operation.

The rejection of claim 2 Fig 1 illustrates a preferred embodiment of the invention and supports the plurality of attachable containers because the method of operation to secure a second attachable container on top of first attached container is identical to the specification describing the method of operation for securing the first attachable container to the wheeled container. The OPERATION states "The manner of operation of a handle 4 for securing containers on top of each other". This operation would be easily performed or manufactured by someone having ordinary skill in the art. A second attached container is not included in the Fig 1 describing the preferred embodiment for reasons of clarity as well as the large number of different configurations and designs of this type of tiltable container system. A minimal container configuration system was selected that would illustrate the basic novelty of the invention, which is further expanded and clarified by the claims of plurality and general purpose use, etc. Applicant has corrected Fig 1 to show a second attached container on top of the (first) attached container as applicant agrees with the Examiner that a single attached container is unclear. This would also clarify the wording in claim 1b) at least one attachable container, where the plurality is first indicated but not yet narrowed to "containers stacked and secured on top", as seen in claim 2;

2. The system of claim 1 further including a plurality of attachable containers stacked and secured on top of said attachable container.

Page 10 of 13 of the patent states the ramification that:

"Thus the scope of the invention should be determined by the appended claims and their legal equivalents, rather than by the examples given."

Inclusion of plurality of attached containers is fundamental to the claims 1 and 2 and the essence of the invention, but applicant has "failed to see the forest because of the trees" and in attempting to simplify the drawings has actually decreased clarity. Corrections have been made without adding any new matter but adding more of the same matter. Applicant appreciates the Examiner's ability to identify this.